

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/684,179 | 10/10/2003 | Thorsten Kiehne | 02/059 MFE | 2584 | |
| 38263 | 7590 06/21/2005 | • | EXAMINER | | |
| PROPAT, L.L.C. | | | CHEN, VIVIAN | | |
| | H SHARON AMITY RÓA E, NC 28211-2841 | D | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 1773 | 1773 | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 10/684,179 | KIEHNE ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Vivian Chen | 1773 |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Vivian Chen | 1773 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of | | e final rejection, whichou | eric later In no | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is levent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WI MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set for |), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37(| of the appeal. a). | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); educing or simplifying | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>see DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION</u> . (See | | jected claims. | • | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ampliant Amendment | (DTOL 324) | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant Amendment | . (FTOL-324). | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendm | nent canceling | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-15 and 17-20</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | • | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| nils to provide a (1). | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ched. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered busee DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | |
| 13. ☐ Other: DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION. | | | | | |
| | | Vivian Chen | | | |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773



Application/Control Number: 10/684,179 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION

1. Claims 8, 16 have been cancelled by Applicant.

Response to Proposed Amendments

2. The proposed amendments will <u>NOT</u> be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The newly added claim limitations (e.g., the recited sliding coefficients of friction; a continuous coating; recited amounts of methyl methacrylate) have not been previously claimed or presented.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- (A) Applicant argues that EP '004 and the other previously relied upon references each fail to explicitly disclose every single feature of the claimed invention, in particular continuous acrylic coatings or coatings with the recited methyl methacrylate content. However, since the proposed amendments have <u>NOT</u> been entered, Applicant's arguments directed to the proposed claim amendments are moot.
- (B) Applicant argues that EP '004 teaches away from low friction coatings because it discloses the use of adhesion-promoting acrylic coatings. However, while the reference may mention such coatings as an illustrative example of a useful functional coating, the reference does not prohibit the application of other types of conventional performance-enhancing coatings.

Art Unit: 1773

Furthermore, the mere fact that a coating has improved adhesion to other materials (e.g., metallized layers, other coatings, etc.) does not automatically mean that it is incapable of also having desirable frictional or non-blocking properties; therefore, the mention of adhesion-promoting coatings in EP '004 is not wholly incompatible from the use of coatings with desirable running or slip properties.

- (C) In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the amount of methyl methacrylate in the coating) are not recited in the presently rejected claim(s), since the proposed amendments have <u>NOT</u> been entered. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- (D) Applicant argues that POLYMER SCIENCE fails to disclose the claimed invention in its entirety. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). EP '004 discloses a majority of the features recited in the present claims. POLYMER SCIENCE is relied upon to illustrate that it is well known in the art to utilize coatings to modify the surface properties of a film.
- (E) Applicant argues that CULBERTSON ET AL '784 fails to disclose a continuous acrylic coating. However, since the proposed amendments have <u>NOT</u> been entered, Applicant's arguments are deemed moot.

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 13, 2005

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

Mai Cha